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Very preliminary material on non-verbal programming experiences
Scratch

A project of the Lifelong Kindergarten Group at the MIT Media Lab
when clicked (flag):

    x = 0
    y = 100
    goto_pos(x,y)
    angle = 0
    while True:
        angle += 5
        x = 100*\sin(angle)
        y = 100*\cos(angle)
        wait(0.1)
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Programming languages

- tool
- object of study
- meta-language:
  - algorithms published in Algol on the *Communications of ACM*
- programs are “immutable mobiles”  (B. Latour; see also J. Goguen)
Programming ENIAC: 1945-46
ALGORITHM 64
QUICKSORT
C. A. R. HOARE
Elliott Brothers Ltd., Borehamwood, Hertfordshire, Eng.

procedure quicksort (A,M,N); value M,N;
array A; integer M,N;

comment Quicksort is a very fast and convenient method of sorting an array in the random-access store of a computer. The entire contents of the store may be sorted, since no extra space is required. The average number of comparisons made is $2(M-N) \ln (N-M)$, and the average number of exchanges is one sixth this amount. Suitable refinements of this method will be desirable for its implementation on any actual computer;
begin integer I,J;
if M < N then begin partition (A,M,N,I,J);
quicksort (A,M,J);
quicksort (A, I, N)
end
end quicksort.
H. Bergson: l’illusion rétrospective du vrai

Par le seul fait de s’accomplir, la réalité projette derrière elle son ombre dans le passé indéfiniment lointain ; elle paraît ainsi avoir préexistanté, sous forme de possible, à sa propre réalisation

[H. Bergson, La pensée et le mouvant, 1934]

also: le mouvement rétrospectif/rétrograde du vrai
Bricks, not languages...
From Scratch’s official distribution

- a **graphical** programming language
- children can *drag and combine* code blocks to make a range of programs
- it’s a bit like the *programming equivalent of LEGO!*
- learn coding concepts [...] without needing to learn a text-based programming language
- they won’t be slowed down by their keyboard skills or the **ability to remember complex code**
On Scratch:

- Isn’t even a proper language
- It’s more reminiscent of LEGO than real engineering
- Every student over the age of 12 agrees with my diagnosis

- It turns out, typing is overrated
- Programming isn’t like English
  There aren’t a million different words and sentence structures
- Creating blocks saves time
- [Scratch] enables to focus 100% on the design and logic of the programs, not the semantics.
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Lego programming

Non linguistics components
Brick game
Continuous interaction and feedback
Visual aspect more important than the linguistic one
A long history

Programming is interacting with the *executor*, the machine

- **Logo, 1969:**

- **Smalltalk, 1972**
  Alan Key, XEROX PARC

Interaction is mediated by powerful metaphors:

- turtle
- object
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Per conoscere il mondo bisogna costruirlo

To know the world we must construct it

Cesare Pavese, Il mestiere di vivere (Engl.: This business of living). 1952

(we must re-construct it in a story — or as a story)

In other words, we make not just to have, but to know.
But the having can happen without most of the knowing taking place.

Alan Kay, The early history of Smalltalk. 1993

The problem:
How, then, can we construct for knowing and not just for having?
Per conoscere il mondo bisogna costruirlo

To know the world we must construct it

Cesare Pavese, Il mestiere di vivere (Engl.: This business of living). 1952

(we must re-construct it in a story — or as a story)

In other words, we make not just to have, but to know. But the having can happen without most of the knowing taking place.

Alan Kay, The early history of Smalltalk. 1993

The problem:
How, then, can we construct for knowing and not just for having?
Per conoscere il mondo bisogna costruirlo

To know the world we must construct it

Cesare Pavese, Il mestiere di vivere (Engl.: This business of living). 1952

(we must re-construct it in a story — or as a story)

In other words, we make not just to have, but to know. But the having can happen without most of the knowing taking place.

Alan Kay, The early history of Smalltalk. 1993

The problem:

How, then, can we construct for knowing and not just for having?
Constructing for knowing:
Seymour Papert:
we must construct **meaningful** objects and relations
Central for Papert:

not computer science, or a programming language, or programming, *per se*

but *construction*, with computational means, of *concrete* versions of *abstract* mathematical concepts

We understand what we construct

Constructivism:

Jean Piaget

Computational “environments” are one of the most effective and economic ways to obtain such models in an autonomous manner.
Central for Papert:
not computer science, or a programming language, or programming, *per se*
but *construction*, with computational means, of *concrete* versions of *abstract* mathematical concepts

We understand what we construct

Constructivism:
Jean Piaget

Computational "environments" are one of the most effective and economic ways to obtain such models in an autonomous manner.
Seymour Papert, 1980

Mindstorm: Children, Computers, And Powerful Ideas

Their visions of how to integrate computational thinking into everyday life was insufficiently developed.

Their = people using computers for offering computationally rich activities
Papert’s constructionism

Constructivism + Meaningfulness

We build concrete versions of abstract concepts
and we enter into a relationship with these concrete objects
Too tempting
The use of some programming languages is one of the most effective and economic ways for children to obtain such models in an autonomous manner.

But:
The modality of interaction with the computational media is as (and probably more) important than its contents.

The try and correct cycle:
Feedback from the computational objects more than static semantics.
Samba schools for computation

In the next few years we shall see the formation of some computational environments that deserve to be called “samba schools for computation.”

There have already been attempts in this direction [but] their visions of how to integrate computational thinking into everyday life was insufficiently developed.
Samba schools, in Rio
Samba schools, in Rio

- clubs ranging from hundreds to thousands of people, from children to their grandparents, from novices to professionals
- they gather every weekend to dance and to meet with friends
- all of them dance: the novice learns, the expert teaches and practices for harder moves
- a great social cohesion, a great sense of belonging, a strong idea of having a “common purpose.”
- learning is spontaneous and natural, it is also *deliberate*
In samba schools for computation:

- no knowledge is transmitted
- pupils will learn because are immersed in an environment
- activities are both “rich of computational principles” and meaningful for the community
Affective relation

Building “objects to think with”

oxymoron:
the abstract is obtained using the concrete

In the choice of such objects:
there is not only a cognitive aspect
there is always a fundamental affective component

Papert: “I was in love with gears!”
Early Smalltalk

Alan Kay:

- 1966-1969: in graduate school at University of Utah
- Summer 1967: learns Papert’s ideas from Minsky
- Winter 1968: meets Papert and his group

This encounter finally hit me with what the destiny of personal computing really was going to be: […] a personal dynamic medium [which] had to extend into the world of childhood.

All came together to form an image of what a personal computer really should be. It had to be no larger than a notebook, and needed an interface as friendly as JOSS’, GRAIL’s, and LOGO’s, but with the reach of Simula and FLEX.
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It isn’t enough to just learn to read and write. There is also a literature that renders ideas. Language is used to read and write about them, but at some point the organization of ideas starts to dominate mere language abilities.

And it helps greatly to have some powerful ideas under one’s belt to better acquire more powerful ideas [Papert 70s]. So, we decided we should teach design.
Adele [Goldberg] decided that what was needed was an intermediary between the vague ideas about the problem and the very detailed writing and debugging that had to be done to get it to run in Smalltalk. She called the intermediary forms design templates.

Using these the children could look at a situation […] and decompose it into classes and messages without having to worry just how a method would work.

We wanted more, and started to push on the inheritance idea as a way to let novices build on frameworks that could only be designed by experts.
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From the objects and classes of Simula to an ecosystem of interacting objects
Smalltalk for Alto
From the objects and classes of Simula
to an ecosystem of interacting objects

Smalltalk is NOT only its syntax or the class library, it is not even about classes. I’m sorry that I long ago coined the term "objects" for this topic because it gets many people to focus on the lesser idea.

The big idea is "messaging" [...] The Japanese have a small word -- ma -- for "that which is in between".

A. Kay, message to the Squeak-dev mailing list. Sat Oct 10 1998
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And this is reflected into Smalltalk itself:

when ST hit the larger world, it was pretty much
taken as "something just to be learned", as though it
were Pascal or Algol.

while it is something one should fiddle about, tinker with:

at PARC we changed Smalltalk constantly, treating it
always as a work in progress
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Am I saying that... 
- Scratch is not a programming *language*? 
- Programming in Scratch is not a linguistic activity?

But programming in these visual languages is experienced, and often explicitly proposed, primarily as non-linguistic

This view has ancient and well established roots in some standard, linguistic ancestor
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After all... We interact with our smartphones that is: *we program them* exploiting less and less the linguistic metaphor. We should try to make sense of this.